

*WC Docket 05-337***Montana State Senate**

RECEIVED FILE COPY ORIGINAL

**SENATOR JIM PETERSON**  
SENATE DISTRICT 15HELENA ADDRESS:  
PO BOX 200600  
HELENA, MONTANA 59620-0600  
(406) 444-4800HOME ADDRESS:  
RR 1, BOX 2  
BUFFALO, MT 59418  
HOME: (406) 374-2277  
CELL: (406) 366-4043COMMITTEES:  
TAXATION  
EDUCATION*The Big Sky Country*

RECEIVED &amp; INSPECTED

JUL 24 2007

FCC - MAILROOM

July 17, 2007

Kevin J. Martin, Chairman  
Michael J Copps, Commissioner  
Jonathan S Adelstein, Commissioner  
Deborah Taylor Tate, Commissioner  
Robert M McDowell, CommissionerFederal Communications Commission  
445 12<sup>th</sup> Street SW  
Washington D. C. 20554

RE: Universal Service Reform - WC Docket No. 05-337

Dear Chairman and Commissioners:

I am contacting you to express my opposition to the FCC's consideration of placing a cap on the use of the Universal Service Fund (USF) for wireless service. This is a very unfair and arbitrary proposal. Such an approach would result in a terrible disservice to rural consumers. Rural consumers want and need expanded and improved wireless services in rural areas for public safety, economic development, business and personal needs that are as equally important to them as they are to urban consumers. This is one of the main benefits that rural consumers receive from the universal service fund, just as Congress envisioned when it initially established the fund. A wireless-only cap is clearly anti-competitive because it singles out wireless technology, which consumers are choosing more and more over landlines. We should be rewarding competition, not punishing it.

Rural Americans deserve the same access to telecom services that are available in the rest of the country—this is the purpose of the USF.


Consumers in rural parts of Montana are no longer content to have access to only traditional wireline telephone service. Consumers are clearly demanding access to the benefits of mobility that only wireless service provides. This mobility results in extremely important public safety benefits in rural areas. As rural consumers travel from home to work or school, wireless service provides a very valuable safety tool. Without continued support for the expansion and upgrading of the rural wireless networks, consumers will not receive these benefits where they do not already exist. Universal service support is essential if rural consumers are to be provided service and rates comparable to those available in urban areas.

No. of Copies rec'd \_\_\_\_\_  
List ABCDE \_\_\_\_\_

Please consider what limiting the growth of wireless access will mean for rural America. Wireless technology plays an ever-increasing role in economic growth and is a critical instrument in emergency situations. Much of Montana does not have cellular coverage and if the recommended cap is implemented, it will be a long time before many communities here are able to receive it. In a country that prides itself on equality, it seems hypocritical to restrict certain individuals' access to an essential tool simply because of their geographic location, especially when they have contributed for years to the USF along with everyone else.

I respectfully request that you carefully consider these facts as you seek to reform the existing fund. I ask you to find competitively neutral proposals to slow fund growth, ensure accountability for how these funds are used; and promote the continued expansion and improvement of these much needed services in rural areas by targeting funds to high cost areas rather than by targeting reforms to wireless providers. I ask that you vote against the proposed cap on universal service support for wireless service.

Sincerely,



Senator Jim Peterson  
Senate District #16

Senator Jim Peterson  
Montana State Senate  
RR1, Box 2  
Buffalo, MT 59418

RECEIVED & INSPECTED  
JUL 24 2007  
FCC-MAILROOM



Kevin J. Martin, Chairman  
Federal Communications Commission  
445 12<sup>th</sup> Street SW  
Washington DC 20554

